Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/886,171	ZARLING ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Lambertson	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel M. Becker</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 10, 11, 14, 16, 17 and 29.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	PAL NATURE OF MULATIA	/A
See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a wi	ritten summary of the substance
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/886,171

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was informed that claims 10, 11, 14, 16, 17 and 29 were multiply dependent on multiple dependent claim 4. In order to put the claims in condition for allowance, Applicant's representative approved the attached Examiner's Amendment removing the multiple dependency of claims 10, 11, 14, 16, 17 and 29, and introducing new claims 42-47 as dependent on claim 4 (the amended subject matter of claims 10, 11, 14, 16, 17 and 29).